

Interview Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	09/913,014		FAN ET AL	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Lynne Edmondson		1725	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) Lynne Edmondson.

(3) _____.

(2) James Finder.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 09 June 2003.

Type: a) ☒ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☐ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☐ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☐ No.

If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: all.

Identification of prior art discussed: Walker et al. (USPN 5734108), Kotidis et al. (USPN 5623307) and Maruyama et al. (USPN 6323943).

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☒ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: See Continuation Sheet.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN ONE MONTH FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

Examiner Note: You must sign this form unless it is an Attachment to a signed Office action.

Examiner's signature, if required

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: All of the previously cited rejections are based on references teaching measurement of oscillation by detection of reflected radiation. The invention as disclosed in the proposed amendment teaches measurement by detection of transmitted light in partial shadow as blocked or partially blocked by the object to be measured. Walker and Kotidis particularly do not teach the object blocking any of the radiation but rather teach reflection of the radiation from the object. Submission of the proposed amendment as discussed in this interview will overcome the previously cited rejections..